

Langley First School Pupil Premium Strategy 2019-22

Our philosophy

We believe in maximising the use of the pupil premium grant (PPG) by utilising a long-term strategy aligned to the SEF. This enables us to implement a blend of short, medium and long-term interventions, and align pupil premium use with wider school improvements and improving readiness to learn.

Overcoming barriers to learning is at the heart of our PPG use. We understand that needs and costs will differ depending on the barriers to learning being addressed. As such, we do not automatically allocate personal budgets per pupil in receipt of the PPG. Instead, we identify the barrier to be addressed and the interventions required, whether in small groups, large groups, the whole school or as individuals, and allocate a budget accordingly.

Our priorities

Setting priorities is key to maximising the use of the PPG. Our priorities are as follows:

- Ensuring an 'effective' teacher is in every class
- To ensure there is no gap between between PP and Non PP in RWM.
- Providing targeted academic support for pupils who are not making the expected progress
- · Addressing non-academic barriers to attainment such as emotional, attendance and behaviour
- Ensuring that the PPG reaches the pupils who need it most
- PP Lead to monitor progress and provision of all PP children throughout the year.

Barriers to future attainment

Data sources that can help you identify barriers to attainment in your school include: 'Get information about schools' (GIAS); the Education Endowment Foundation (EEF) <u>Families of School database</u>; <u>FFT Aspire</u>; staff and pupil consultations; attendance records; recent school Ofsted reports and guidance. Examples have been provided below.]

Academic barriers to attainment	Non-academic barriers to attainment
Poor language and communication skills	Lack of parental engagement
Lack of school readiness	Lack of focus and confidence due to poor mental health and wellbeing
Academic Gap between boys and girls throughout the school	Fluxuation of numbers of families claiming for PPG due to the affluence of area and possible stigma this brings.
Rising number of PP children throughout school, especially in Year 2, where the need is currently the greatest.	Lower Literacy and Numeracy levels in disadvantaged children.
Attendance of disadvantaged children (95.4% disadvantaged v 96.7% whole school)	

Our implementation process

We believe in selecting a small number of priorities and giving them the best chance of success. We also believe in evidence-based interventions and learning from our experiences, which is why we utilise annual light-touch reviews to ensure our approach is effective and we can cease or amend interventions that are not having the intended impact.

We will:

Explore

- Identify a key priority that we can address
- Systematically explore appropriate programmes and practices
- Examine the fit and feasibility with the school

Prepare

- Develop a clear, logical and well-specified plan
- Assess the readiness of the school to deliver the plan
- Make practical preparations

Deliver

- Support staff and solve any problems using a flexible leadership approach
- Reinforce initial training with follow-on support
- Drive faithful adoption and intelligent adaption

Sustain

- Plan for sustaining and scaling the intervention from the outset
- Continually acknowledge, support and reward good implementation practices
- Treat scale-up as a new implementation process

Our tiered approach

To prioritise spending, we have adopted a tiered approach to define our priorities and ensure balance. Our tiered approach comprises three categories:

- 1. Teaching
- 2. Targeted academic support
- 3. Wider strategies

Within each category, we have chosen three interventions. This focussed approach ensures the best chance of success for each intervention.

Quality of teaching

- 1. To provide effective training for all staff, which will allow teaching and learning to be good to outstanding.
- 2. Develop Teaching of Reading across the school **Reading:** Ensure all staff have a clear understanding of the progressive teaching of phonics. Assessments reviewed and pupils' attainment monitored robustly to ensure all pupils have a programme to develop a secure knowledge of all phases.
 - To enhance the teaching of reading in early key stage 2 (years 2 to 4). To specifically raise middle attainers (expected standard) / higher % of boys attaining secure plus / greater depth
- 3. Ensure our constantly reviewed and updated curriculum intent and implementation meets the needs of all pupils, especially vulnerable pupils.

Targeted academic support

- 1. Structured interventions: Boosting Reading Programme to be delivered by PP Teaching Assistant to 2 identified Y2 pupils each 10 weeks.
- 2. Small group tuition: Targeted English and maths teaching for pupils who are below age-related expectations
- 3. One-to-one support for disadvantaged pupils: Creating additional teaching and learning opportunities using TAs

Wider strategies

- 1. Funding for vulnerable children to access music tuition and extracurricular clubs
- 2. Vulnerable pupils supported emotionally as well as academically through employment of learning mentor
- 3. Through ongoing support and parental engagement increase the disadvantaged attendance to be inline with whole school

Full planning details for interventions are outlined in the 'Intervention planning in full' section.

Our review process

Annually reviewing a one-year pupil premium plan and creating a new plan each year is time-costly and ineffective. This three-year approach allows us to dedicate more time up-front and introduce light-touch reviews annually.

During a light-touch review, we will review the success of each intervention, based on evidence, and determine the most effective approach moving forwards – adapting, expanding or ceasing the intervention as required.

Individual targets are set by class teachers for each pupil in receipt of the PPG and their progress towards achieving these targets is analysed at the end of interventions by the PP Lead.

The progress of pupils in receipt of the PPG is regularly discussed with class teachers and SMT at Pupil Progress Meetings and reviewed by the PP Lead on a termly basis.

Once the three-year term has been completed, a new three-year strategy will be created in light of the lessons learned during the execution of the previous strategy, and with regard to any new guidance and evidence of best practice that becomes available. The **headteacher** is responsible for ensuring a pupil premium strategy is always in effect.

Accountability

Ofsted inspections will report on the attainment and progress of disadvantaged pupils in receipt of the PPG.

The school Governors analyse the effectiveness of the PP strategy / interventions.

The school publishes its strategy for using the pupil premium on the school website.

The school publishes a link to the school and college performance tables and the schools' performance tables page on the school website.

Previous Impact

The data below show the ongoing positive impact that the pupil premium funding is having at Langley First School

KS1 Reading, Writing and Maths

	Exs R	Exs W	Exs M	Exs S	GD R	GD W	GD M
School (Disadvantaged)	100%	83%	83%	100%	67%	17%	17%
National non disadvantaged	78%	73%	79%	85%	28%	17%	24%
National Disadvantaged	62%	55%	62%	71%	14%	7%	12%
LA	69%	61%	66%	75%	18%	10%	15%

Our disadvantaged children at the end of KS 1 achieved above that of the rate for National disadvantaged and LA and above national in all areas of National non disadvantaged.

At the point the children leave our school, the majority of children in receipt of Pupil Premium funding achieve at or higher age related expectations.

7 pupils Period: Yr4 Sum2	Below	On Track	Higher	
Reading	1 (14.3%)	5 (71.4%)	1 (14.3%)	
Writing	2 (28.6%)	4 (57.1%)	1 (14.3%)	
Mathematics	2 (28.6%)	4 (57.1%)	1 (14.3%)	

Our funding

				Funding summ	ary: Year 1					
	298	PPG received per pupil	SPP - £300 FSM - £1320 LAC/Post LAC £2300	Indicative PPG as advised in School Budget Statement	£ 41,780					
Total number of pupils	Total number of pupils	Number of pupils eligible for PPG	33	Actual PPG budget	April 19 - Mar 20 Academic Year Sept 19 - Mar 20	FSM £31,680	Post LAC (Adopted) £9,200	LAC tbc	Service Children £900	Total Financial Year £41,780 Total Academic Year £24,372 *
				Funding estima	ate: Year 2					
Estimated pupi	il numbers	300								
Estimated num		30								
Estimated funding £37820										
	Funding estimate: Year 3									
Estimated pupi	l numbers	300								

Estimated number of pupils eligible for PPG	30
Estimated funding	£37820

Intervention planning in full

Intervention:	To provide effective training for all staff, which will allow teaching and learning to be good to outstanding.						
Category:	Quality of teaching						
Intended outcomes:	To ensure the quality of teaching remains good or better across the school.		ccess criteria:	-	ective teacher is in every classroom. Teaching od or better across the curriculum and school.		
Staff lead:	HT and SMT	_		_			
	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		
Implementation							

How we will implement this intervention in year 1:

Rigorous Performance Management Reviews, which will lead to individual teacher training needs, CPD to be undertaken accordingly.

Less experienced teachers paired appropriately with more experienced teachers for advice and support

Lesson Observations with effective feedback and support.

In House CPD from subject leads.

Subject Monitoring

Whole School CPD

How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):

SLT to review current staffing, look at Performance Management Reviews, data analysis at the end of the year and identify need for future training. How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):

SLT to review current staffing, look at Performance Management Reviews, data analysis at the end of the year and identify need for future training.

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 1.]	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 2.]	[Use this space to review the overall success of your intervention.]
Light-touch review notes			

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		Far aboveAbove expeAs expecteBelow expe	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£ 3500 attendance at good to outstanding training (approx £500 x 3 members of staff) Release for observing good practise and disseminating to staff (5x £200/day supply)	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase Decrease Remain the same x £	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase Decrease x Remain the same £	
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£					
			Year 2	£	Year 3	f	
Actual expenditure	Year 1 £	£	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	
	Total actual expenditure:	£					

Intervention:	Professional development: Develop Teaching of Reading across the school						
Category:	Quality of teaching						
Intended outcomes:	Develop and improve the teaching of reading as school.	cross the	Success criteria:	teaching of pho- attainment mon- programme to do To enhance the 2 to 4). To spec	have a clear understanding of the progressive nics. Assessments reviewed and pupils' itored robustly to ensure all pupils have a levelop a secure knowledge of all phases. I teaching of reading in early key stage 2 (years ifically raise middle attainers (expected er % of boys attaining secure plus / greater		
Staff lead:	<u>Literacy Lead</u>						
Implementation	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		

How we will implement this intervention in year 1:

Whole School staff meeting by Literacy Lead to discuss changes Sept 19

Introduce new Reading Records from Y2-4, with parental engagement and key skills identified

Review of phonics assessment and teaching in R-Year2 to strengthen understanding of reading and spelling.

Literacy Lead to provide phonics training for Teaching staff and support staff where needs are required.

How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):

Progress of teaching reading and phonics reviewed by looking at Phonics data, whole school data and PP data.

Review at SMT meetings with Literacy Lead to discuss widening the strategy or amending the approach based on the current years success.

How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):

Progress of teaching reading and phonics reviewed by looking at Phonics data, whole school data and PP data.

Review at SMT meetings with Literacy Lead to discuss widening the strategy or amending the approach based on the current years success.

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 1.]	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 2.]	[Use this space to review the overall success of your intervention.]
Light-touch review notes			

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1		Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? Year 2	Increase Decrease x Remain the same f	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same x £
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£				
Actual expenditure	Year 1	f	Year 2	£	Year 3	£

		Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	increase, decrease or remain the	Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □
al actual enditure:	£				

Intervention:	Ensure our constantly reviewed and updated curriculum intent and implementation meets the needs of our disadvantaged pupils.						
Category:	Quality of teaching						
Intended outcomes:	To have a broad and balanced curriculum which meets the needs of all pupils		Success criteria:	 To meet the needs of our diverse cohort of childre specifically SEN pupils so that their progress is in with their peers To review the shared values of Equality and Diver school. To evaluate current practice and review statement for all stakeholders. Ensure all staff hav clear understanding of this statement/ethos. I 			
Staff lead:	SMT, PP Lead						
	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1: Curriculum strengths and weaknesses continually reviewed throughout the year.	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):			How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):		
Implementation	Staff training for all in identified areas. Performance management targets for teaching staff and subject leads, reviewed termly.	discuss wi	Review curriculum and success, SMT to discuss widening the strategy, amending the approach or phasing out the approach if it is a short-term intervention.		The curriculum will be continually reviewed and successes and limitations discussed. Review in light of new targets for 3 year plan.		
	Subject monitoring. Review whether the curriculum is engaging for all pupils, especially vulnerable pupils.	curriculum	o review effectivene for all pupils, especi antaged pupils. Iden Year 3.	ally vulnerable			

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 1.]	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 2.]	[Use this space to review the overall success of your intervention.]
Light-touch review notes			

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		Far aboveAbove expeAs expecteBelow expe	ed □	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1 Total anticipated	£ 2000 Resources to enhance to curriculum (£1000) Staff training in subject areas to ensure accurate skills set (£1000)	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase Decrease Remain the same f	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? Year 3	Increase Decrease Remain the same £
	expenditure:		Year 2	f Increased □	Year 3 Did expenditure	f Increased □
Actual expenditure			Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	Decreased Remained the same	increase, decrease or remain the same?	Decreased Remained the same
	Total actual expenditure:	£				

Intervention:	Structured interventions: Boosting Reading Programme to be delivered by PP Teaching Assistant to 2 identified Y2 pupils every 10 weeks.					
Category:	Targeted academic support					
Intended outcomes:	To boost reading levels amongst vulnerable pup	oils.	Success criteria:	•	upils to make excelled progress to reach lard in reading by the end of Year2,	
Staff lead:	PP Lead, Literacy Lead.					
	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3	
Implementation	How we will implement this intervention in year 1: PP TA to work with Literacy Lead and PP Lead to identify 2 vulnerable pupils to participate in programme over 10 week period. Over the course of the year train up additional TAs to implement the programme to maximise impact. Review success and identify new pupils.	Year 2 How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review): Review success of programme, attend new training if necessary. Discuss how to carry programme into second year, identify children, year group etc.			How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review): Review Success of Year2, did children identified make excelled progress. Identify effectiveness for future plan.	

	Annual review notes: [Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 1. Record whether pupils' goals were met.]	Annual review notes: [Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 2. Record whether pupils' goals were met.]	Final review notes: [Use this space to review the overall success of your intervention. Record whether pupils' goals were met.]
Light-touch review notes			

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		Far aboveAbove expeAs expecteBelow expe	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1 Total anticipated	£ 2000 (Costs to cover release for those involved and resources needed to implement training / support)	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease x Remain the same □ £	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same? Year 3	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same x £	
	expenditure:	£					
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£	Pid expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	f Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	f Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	
	Total actual expenditure:	£					

Intervention:	Small group tuition: Introducing targeted English and maths teaching for pupils who are below age-related expectations						
Category:	Targeted academic support						
Intended outcomes:	Pupils make at least expected progress in readir writing and maths		Success criteria:	=	ed on target tracker and reviewed through pupilings to ensure that termly progress has been met.		
Staff lead:	Pupil Premium Lead, HT.						
	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):			How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):		
Implementation	session for each PP child / group of children		Discuss number of PP children and needs across the school. Review timetable and needs of individual children.		Discuss number of PP children and needs across the school. Review timetable and needs of individual children.		
	Timetable to include additional time for Year 2 pupils due to increased number of PP children in the cohort.						
	Timetable to be reviewed termly. Regular reviews of effectiveness.						

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 1. Record whether pupils' goals were met.]	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 2. Record whether pupils' goals were met.]	[Use this space to review the overall success of your intervention. Record whether pupils' goals were met.]
Light-touch review notes			

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		Far aboveAbove expAs expecteBelow exp	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£ 11,500	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same x £	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase ☐ Decrease ☐ Remain the same x £	
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£					
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£	Year 2 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	f Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	Pear 3 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	f Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	
	Total actual expenditure:	£					

Intervention:	One-to-one support: Creating additional teaching and learning opportunities using TAs					
Category:	Targeted academic support					
Intended outcomes:			Success criteria:			
Staff lead:	HT, Pupil PremiumLead.					
Implementation	How we will implement this intervention in year 1: HT to work alongside PP Lead and PP TA to identify Vulnerable pupils who will need 1:1 support. PP TA to work alongside class teachers to	year 2 (in review): [Use this simplemen widening	rill implement this intervention in light of the year 1 annual light-touch space to outline your plan for tation in year 2. This could involve the strategy, amending the	How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review): [Use this space to outline your plan for implementation in year 3. You should also use this space to set new goals for pupils.]		
PP TA to work alongside class teachers to identify pupils and discuss needs. 1:1 Pre-Teach - Working with child.		a short-te	or phasing out the approach if it is rm intervention. You should also pace to set new goals for pupils.]			

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 1. Record whether pupils' goals were met.]	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 2. Record whether pupils' goals were met.]	[Use this space to review the overall success of your intervention. Record whether pupils' goals were met.]
Light-touch review notes			

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		Far aboveAbove expeAs expecteBelow expe	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£ as target above	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same □ £	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase Decrease Remain the same f	
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£	•				
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£	Year 2 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	f Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	Pear 3 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	f Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	
	Total actual expenditure:	£					

Intervention:	Funding for vulnerable children to access music tuition and extracurricular clubs						
Category:	Wider strategies						
Intended outcomes:			Success criteria:	All PP children given opportunity to develop their resilience and determination	Fund PP children to attend outward bound pursuit residential trip	Fund access to music tuition and orchestra club	
Staff lead:	HT, PP LEAD, A.Ternent						
	Year 1	Year 2			Year 3		
	How we will implement this intervention in year 1:	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch review):		How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review):			
Implementation	Music and orchestra offered free of charge to all PP children. A.T and PP lead to monitor uptake. To support vulnerable children in access full entitlement and be part of the school / support concentration and ability to possibly excel in something other than academic studies	Review im	ipact, success and u	ıptake.	Review impact, suc	ccess and uptake.	
	Extra -Curricular activities such as Year 4 residential trip.						

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 1.]	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 2.]	[Use this space to review the overall success of your intervention.]
Light-touch review notes			

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		Far aboveAbove expAs expecteBelow exp	ed □	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£ 1500	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase ☐ Decrease ☐ Remain the same x £	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase ☐ Decrease ☐ Remain the same x £
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£				
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£	Year 2 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	f Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	Pear 3 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	f Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □
	Total actual expenditure:	£				

Intervention:	Learning mentor to develop the Nurture provision to focus children and families and be proactive in nurturing all vulnerable children.					
Category:	Wider strategies					
Intended outcomes:	Vulnerable pupils supported emotionally as well as academically		Success criteria:	All vulnerable previewed succe	oupils will have emotional needs met and essfully.	
Staff lead:	Learning Mentor. HT, PP Lead					
	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3	
Implementation	How we will implement this intervention in year 1: Constant feedback from LM about intervention and support group. Logs from LM reviewed by HT and PP Lead. Progress meetings. Use of Boxall Profile assessment to establish pupil progress. Regular review meetings with LM, class teachers and SMT. Pupil Progress reviewed termly and interventions/timetable continually reviewed.	year 2 (in I review): Year 1 logs strategies	How we will implement this intervention in year 2 (in light of the year 1 annual light-touch		How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review): Year 2 logs reviewed. Effectiveness of strategies and timetable reviewed. Further training offered if necessary.	

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 1.]	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 2.]	[Use this space to review the overall success of your intervention.]
Light-touch review notes			

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		Far aboveAbove expeAs expecteBelow expe	ed □	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£ 20,000	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same x £	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase □ Decrease □ Remain the same x £
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£				
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£	Year 2 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	f Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	Pear 3 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	f Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □
	Total actual expenditure:	£		•		

Intervention:	Attendance: Through ongoing support and parental engagement increase the disadvantaged attendance						
Category:	Wider strategies						
Intended outcomes:			Success criteria:	of school atten	children are specifically focused during		
Staff lead:	L.M, PP Lead						
Implementation	How we will implement this intervention in year 1: As part of the school's attendance protocol, Learning Mentor will focus monitoring on disadvantaged children's attendance	year 2 (in I review): As part of Learning N	Year 2 will implement this into light of the year 1 and the school's attendant vill focus mosaged children's attendant will focus mosaged children's attentant vill fo	nual light-touch nce protocol, nitoring on	How we will implement this intervention in year 3 (in light of the year 2 light-touch annual review): As part of the school's attendance protocol, Learning Mentor will focus monitoring on disadvantaged children's attendance		

	Annual review notes:	Annual review notes:	Final review notes:
	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 1.]	[Use this space to review the success of your intervention in year 2.]	[Use this space to review the overall success of your intervention.]
Light-touch review notes			

Light-touch review overall assessment	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		Far aboveAbove expeAs expecteBelow expe	The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □		The intervention is performing: • Far above expectations □ • Above expectations □ • As expected □ • Below expectations □ • Far below expectations □	
Anticipated expenditure	Year 1	£Cost part of Learning Mentor role	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase Decrease Remain the same £	Is expenditure anticipated to increase, decrease or remain the same?	Increase Decrease Remain the same £	
	Total anticipated expenditure:	£					
Actual expenditure	Year 1	£	Year 2 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	f Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	Pear 3 Did expenditure increase, decrease or remain the same?	f Increased □ Decreased □ Remained the same □	
	Total actual expenditure:	£					